# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

**DOCKET NO.:** 2007-1716-MLM-E **TCEQ ID:** RN105148852 **CASE NO.:** 34834 **RESPONDENT NAME:** McEachern Enterprises, Inc. dba Superior Clean Can

ORDER TYPE:					
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING			
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER			
_AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER				
CASE TYPE:					
AIR	XMULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE			
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION			
X WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL			
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	X_EDWARDS AQUIFER			
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Superior Clean Can, 8 Indian Meadows, Round Rock, Williamson County  TYPE OF OPERATION: Portable sanitation company  SMALL BUSINESS: _X_YesNo  OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: A complaint was received August 27, 2007, alleging that spent wash water was discharging to a					
nearby creek. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.  INTERESTED PARTIES: A complaint was received, but the complainant has not expressed a desire to protest this action or to speak at Agenda.  COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on April 7, 2007. No comments were received.					
COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on April 7, 2007. No comments were received.  CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:  TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None  TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Samuel Short, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 3, MC 149, (512) 239-5363; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171  Respondent: Mr. Ray McEachern, Owner/President, McEachern Enterprises, Inc., 7606 Rustling Road, Austin, Texas 78731  Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter					

**DOCKET NO.:** 2007-1716-MLM-E

and the sign of the second of the second

# **VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:**

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation:  X. Complaint Routine Enforcement Follow-up Records Review  Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: August 27, 2007  Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: September 11, 2007  Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: October 5, 2007 (NOE)  Background Facts: This was a complaint investigation.  WATER  1) Failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of spent wash water. Specifically, portable toilet units are washed on a concrete pad. The spent wash water discharged from the pad, across a dirt road, and into an adjacent creek [Tex. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)].  2) Failed to obtain approval of an Edwards Aquifer Water Pollution Abatement Plan ("WPAP") which implements best management practices to control pollution from regulated entities on the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone. Specifically, the Respondent bought the property without a WPAP in place and is conducting regulated activities without implementing best management practices to control pollution [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 213.5(b)(4)(D)(ii)(l)].	Total Assessed: \$3,150  Total Deferred: \$630	Ordering Provisions:  The Order will require the Respondent to:  a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, cease all unauthorized discharges by implementing best management practices to control the discharge of spent wash water;  b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit an administratively complete WPAP including the associated application fee;  c. Respond completely and adequately to all TCEQ requests for additional information within 30 days of such requests, or by any other deadline specified in writing; and  d. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed supporting documentation to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions a. and b.

Additional ID No(s).: N/A

Policy Revision 2 (Septe	•	Calculation	Works	heet (P	•	ptember 19, 2007
ICEQ DATES Assigned PCW	8-Oct-2007   Screening	22-Oct-2007	EPA Due			
RESPONDENT/FACILITY Respondent Reg. Ent. Ref. No. Facility/Site Region	McEachern Enterprises, Ind RN105148852	c. dba Superior Cle	ورافيل والقيسي وباعل الكار	Minor Source	Minor	
Media Program(s)	2007-1716-MLM-E Water Quality Edwards Aquifer	Maximum				
TOTAL BASE PENAL		lty Calculat		tion	Subtotal 1	\$3.000
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-)	TO SUBTOTAL 1				Subtotal I	Ψ3,000
Compliance Histo	Enhancement is recomm	5%	Enhancement one NOV for	Subte	otals 2, 3, & 7	\$150
Culpability Notes	No The Respondent of	0% does not meet the	Enhancement	teria.	Subtotal 4	\$0
Good Faith Effort  Extraordinary Ordinary N/A Notes	Before NOV NOV to EDP  x (mark with x)	RP/Settlement Offer	Reduction	iteria.	Subtotal 5	\$0
	Total EB Amounts \$305 Cost of Compliance \$9,000		nhancement*	S Amount	Subtotal 6	\$0
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	s 1-7			i	Final Subtotal	\$3,150
OTHER FACTORS AS Reduces or enhances the Final St Notes			0%		Adjustment	\$0
<b>\</b>	<u> </u>			Final Per	nalty Amount	\$3,150
STATUTORY LIMIT A	DJUSTMENT			Final Asse	essed Penalty	\$3,150
<b>DEFERRAL</b> Reduces the Final Assessed Pen				Reduction action.)	Adjustment	-\$630
Notes PAYABLE PENALTY	Deferral off	ered for expedited	settlement.			\$2,520

Screening Date 22-Oct-2007 Docket No. 2007-1716-MLM-E **PCW** Respondent McEachern Enterprises, Inc. dba Superior Clean Can Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) Case ID No. 34834 PCW Revision September 19, 2007 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105148852 Media [Statute] Water Quality Enf. Coordinator Samuel Short **Compliance History Worksheet** >> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Component Number of... Adjust. Enter Number Here Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action 5% **NOVs** (number of NOVs meeting criteria) Other written NOVs 0% n Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders 0% 0 meeting criteria) Orders Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory 0 0% emergency orders issued by the commission Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting 0 0% Judgments and Consent Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court Decrees judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal 0 0% aovernment Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts) Convictions n 0% Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events) Emissions n 0% Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of 0 : 0% audits for which notices were submitted) Audits Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege 0 0% Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed) Please Enter Yes or No Environmental management systems in place for one year or more Ñο 0% Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a No 0% special assistance program Other Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program 0% No Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government Νò 0% environmental requirements 5% Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) >> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3) No Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0% >> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7) Average Performer Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7, >> Compliance History Summary

Enhancement is recommended for having one NOV for the same or similar violation within the last five

years.

Compliance

History

Notes

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7)

Screening Date		40.30.00 a.10.00 a.10	<b>No.</b> 2007-1716-MLM-E	PCW
		erprises, Inc. dba Superior Clean		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.	i .			PCW Revision September 19, 2007
Media [Statute]				
Enf. Coordinator				
Violation Number				
Rule Cite(s)		Tex. Water Code §	26.121(a)	
Violation Description	during an inves	vent the unauthorized discharge of stigation conducted on Septembered on a concrete pad. The spent across a dirt road, and into	er 11, 2007. Specifically, portable t wash water discharged from the	toilet
			Base P	enalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a	ınd Human H	lealth Matrix		
		Harm		
OR Release		Moderate Minor		
Potential			Percent 10%	2 to the state of
Same a			<del></del>	and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second a second
>>Programmatic Matrix	Major	Moderate Minor		
Falsification	Major	Moderate Minor	Percent 0%	
<u> </u>				
Matrix Human book	th or the environ	nment has been exposed to insign	nificant amounts of pollutants whi	ch do
		hat are protective of human healt		######################################
			Adjustment	9,000
				£4.000
***************************************				\$1,000
Violation Events				
	- F			
Number of Vi	olation Events	1 ] 4	Number of violation days	
***************************************	daily			
operation of the state of the s	monthly 🔲			
mark only one with an x	quarterly	X	Violation Base P	enalty \$1,000
WILLIAM	semiannual			
	single event			
		One quarterly event is recomm		
		One quarterly event is reconni	nenueu.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	nis violation		Statutory Limit Test	
		\$169	Violation Final Penalt	/ Total \$1,050
Estimate	d EB Amount		:	
		This violation Final A	Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$1,050

Violation No.	1					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
	1					5,0	r oferen
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amour
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0,0	\$0	3 \$0	\$0
Buildings				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	171 ESS 131 ESS		The first of the second	0.0	\$0	\$0	
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	100000000000000000000000000000000000000			0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$5,000	11-Sep-2007	15-May-2008	0.7	\$169	n/a	<b>\$</b> 169
					etrikat (v. 1900 grade) il		1000000
	The estimate	ated cost to cease t	ne unauthorized di	scharge	and to clean/disir	fect the affected are	a The date
Notes for DELAYED costs		ated cost to cease to lired is the date of the				ifect the affected are ected date of compli	
	requ	lired is the date of t	ne investigation an	d the fin	al date is the proj	ected date of compli	ance,
Avoided Costs	requ	lired is the date of t	ne investigation an	d the fin	al date is the projetem (except for	ected date of compli one-time avoided c	ance, osts)
Avoided Costs	requ	lired is the date of t	ne investigation an	d the finantering i	al date is the projetem (except for \$0	ected date of compli one-time avoided c \$0	ance, osts) \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	requ	lired is the date of t	ne investigation an	othe finantering i	tem (except for \$0	one-time avoided of \$0	osts) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	requ	lired is the date of t	ne investigation an	o the finantering i	al date is the projectem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	coted date of compilers one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	requ	lired is the date of t	ne investigation an	ntering i	tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	requ	lired is the date of t	ne investigation an	d the fin	al date is the projectem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided completions so	osts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	requ	lired is the date of t	ne investigation an	d the fin.  ntering i  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0  0.0	al date is the projectem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	sots) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	requ	lired is the date of t	ne investigation an	d the fin	al date is the projectem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided completions so	socts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

nie na nach Gregoria in Park

Screening Date	22-Oct-2007	Docke	<b>t No.</b> 2007-1716-MLM-E	PCW
		s, Inc. dba Superior Clean	Can	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No				PCW Revision September 19, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No.			•	TO A STATE OF THE
Media [Statute]	505			www.
Enf. Coordinator	r			avenue
Violation Number				<del></del>
Rule Cite(s		30 Tex. Admin. Code § 2	13.5(b)(4)(D)(ii)(l)	The second secon
Violation Description	("WPAP") which in regulated entities on bought the property	nplements best manageme the Edwards Aquifer Rech without a WPAP in place	ifer Water Pollution Abatemen ent practices to control pollution arge Zone. Specifically, the res and is conducting regulated ac at practices to control pollution.	n from **  spondent   ctivities
			Bas	e Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health	Matrix		
	Harr	n		
Releas OR Actua		ate Minor		
Potentia			Percent 0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix				
Falsification		ate Minor	Percent 10%	
<u> </u>	x		1070	
Matrix Notes	100%	of the rule requirement wa	s not met.	
The second secon			Adjustment	\$9,000
				e4 000
***************************************				\$1,000
Violation Events				
Number of V	/iolation Events 2		Number of violation da	ys
mark only one with an x	daily monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event		Violation Bas	se Penalty \$2,000
Economic Benefit (EB) for t		o monthly events are recon	nmended. Statutory Limit Te	est
			•	
Estimat	ted EB Amount	\$135	Violation Final Per	nalty Total \$2,100
		This violation Final	Assessed Penalty (adjusted	for limits) \$2,100

	E	conomic	Benefit W	orks	heet		
Respondent		erprises, Inc. dba			202 <b>204</b> 2 202	ent en	(in the s
Case ID No.	34834					TO THE STATE OF	4 A 4
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN105148852					introduce a set year or	agradige to the first
Media	Water Quality					Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	2				3/MRF. 1	i ercent miterest	Depreciation
						5,0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
				******			****
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	. и			0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				.0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)		00000000	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	.0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	<b>\$</b> 0
Record Keeping System		33.5		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	<b>04.000</b>	11-Sep-2007	45 May 0000	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$135
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$4,000	11-Sep-2007	15-May-2008	0.7	\$135 \$0	n/a n/a	φ135 × \$0
	1 5. 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00					3080830000	
Notes for DELAYED costs	Cost reflects t		are and submit a V ne final date is the			is the date of the in	vestigation and
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	30.50 30.50	t)	ne final date is the	projected	date of complian	100	7
	30.50 30.50	t)	ne final date is the	projected	date of compliantem (except for \$0	ice.	7
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	30.50 30.50	t)	ne final date is the	ntering in	date of complian  tem (except for \$0 \$0	one-time avoided o	costs) \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	30.50 30.50	t)	ne final date is the	ntering it	date of compliar tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided o	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	30.50 30.50	t)	ne final date is the	ntering if	date of compliantem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	30.50 30.50	t)	ne final date is the	ntering it	tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	30.50 30.50	t)	ne final date is the	ntering if	date of compliand tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0.5055) \$0.505
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	30.50 30.50	t)	ne final date is the	ntering if	tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	30.50 30.50	t)	ne final date is the	ntering if	date of compliand tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0.5055) \$0.505
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Finaricial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	30.50 30.50	t)	ne final date is the	ntering if	date of compliand tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] Other (as needed)	30.50 30.50	t)	ne final date is the	ntering if	date of compliand tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

# Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:

CN603143447

McEachern Enterprises, Inc.

Classification: AVERAGE

Rating: 4.75

Regulated Entity:

RN105148852

SUPERIOR CLEAN CAN

Classification: AVERAGE

Site Rating: 8.00

ID Number(s):

Location:

8 INDIAN MEADOWS, ROUND ROCK, TX, 78664

Rating Date: 9/1/2007 Repeat Violator: NO

TCEQ Region:

**REGION 11 - AUSTIN** 

Date Compliance History Prepared:

December 13, 2007

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:

Enforcement

Compliance Period:

October 19, 2002 to October 19, 2007

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name:

Samuel Short

(512) 239-5363

### Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period?

Yes

3. If Yes, who is the current owner?

McEachern Enterprises, Inc.

4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)?

Tribble Horitcultural Designs, Inc.

5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur?

09/01/2004

## Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. В.

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D.

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date: 02/09/2007

(536165)

Self Report?

Classification:

Moderate

Citation:

2D TWC Chapter 26, SubChapter A 26.121

Description:

Failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of spent wash water.

Self Report?

30 TAC Chapter 213, SubChapter A 213.4(a)(1)

Citation: Description:

Failed to obtain approval of an Edwards Aquifer Water Pollution Abatement Plan ("WPAP") prior to beginning a regulated activity over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge

Environmental audits. F

Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G.

Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates. Н

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

Early compliance.

Sites Outside of Texas

en de la companya de Servicio de la companya de la compa

and the second of the second o

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
MCEACHERN ENTERPRISES, INC.	§	
DBA SUPERIOR CLEAN CAN	§	
RN105148852	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

# AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2007-1716-MLM-E

### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its \_\_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding McEachern Enterprises, Inc. dba Superior Clean Can ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a portable sanitation company at 8 Indian Meadows in Round Rock, Williamson County, Texas (the "Site").
- 2. The Respondent committed any other act or engaged in any other activity which in itself or in conjunction with any other discharge or activity causes, continues to cause, or will cause pollution of any water in the state under Tex. WATER CODE ch. 26.
- 3. This Site is regulated under the Edwards Aquifer rules and is not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 4. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 5. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about October 10, 2007.
- 6. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

		•		
	·			
	·			
	·			
	·			

- 7. An administrative penalty in the amount of Three Thousand One Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$3,150) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Two Thousand Five Hundred Twenty Dollars (\$2,520) of the administrative penalty and Six Hundred Thirty Dollars (\$630) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.
- 8. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 9. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Site, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of spent wash water, in violation of TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 11, 2007. Specifically, portable toilet units are washed on a concrete pad. The spent wash water discharged from the pad, across a dirt road, and into an adjacent creek.
- 2. Failed to obtain approval of an Edwards Aquifer Water Pollution Abatement Plan ("WPAP") which implements best management practices to control pollution from regulated entities on the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 213.5(b)(4)(D)(ii)(l), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 11, 2007. Specifically, the Respondent bought the property without a WPAP in place and is conducting regulated activities without implementing best management practices to control pollution.

en en la companya de la co

An entre graph of the state of

and the second of the second o

in the second of the second of

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

A control of the contro

### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 7 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: McEachern Enterprises, Inc. dba Superior Clean Can, Docket No. 2007-1716-MLM-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, cease all unauthorized discharges by implementing best management practices to control the discharge of spent wash water;
  - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit an administratively complete WPAP including the associated application fee for the Site to:

Edwards Aquifer Protection Program Austin Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2800 South I-35, Suite 100 Austin, Texas 78704-5712

- c. Respond completely and adequately to all TCEQ requests for additional information within 30 days of such requests, or by any other deadline specified in writing; and
- d. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. and 2.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are

and the second of the second o

(a) The second of the secon

A second of the s

significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Edwards Aquifer Section, Manager Austin Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2800 S IH 35, Suite 100 Austin, Texas 78704-5712

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Site operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.

en de la composition La composition de la

and the state of t

State of the second of the seco

The second second

en de la companya de la co La companya de la co

The first of the f

en de la composition de la final de la final de la gradie de la final de la composition de la final de la final La composition de la final La composition de la final de la final

en la companya de la companya del companya del companya de la comp

McEachern Enterprises, Inc. dba Superior Clean Can DOCKET NO. 2007-1716-MLM-E Page 5

8. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

in the second of the second of

# SIGNATURE PAGE

## TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

				*	
For the Commission					
De Gralie			3/10/	7008	
For the Executive Director		Date	***		

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Ray Mr Eaden	1/16/08
Signature	Date
Ray Mc Eachern	President
Name (Printed or typed)	Title
Authorized Representative of	•
McEachern Enterprises, Inc. dba Superior Clean Can	

**Instructions**: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Destruction of the second

and the second s

A STATE OF THE STA

· The part of the second of